

Mentored Learning Communities to Impact E-Learning Student Success

Paul P. Freddolino, Ph.D.
Tina Blaschke-Thompson
School of Social Work
Michigan State University (USA)

1

Challenges and values

- Wide variance in student technology capacity, access, skills, comfort, etc.
- Faculty resistance to fully online program
- Wide geographic spread among students
- Importance of relationships in SW practice
- Value of relationships for presence and engagement in the learning environment
- Faculty desire for “eyes on the ground” with students – for better feedback and monitoring


2

Cohort, Learning Community, & Mentor Model

- One cohort of students admitted every year
- Each cohort is divided into regional learning communities of 4-6 students that meet regionally for ITV class sessions
- Each learning community is assigned a faculty mentor who is with the students for the duration of the program and serves as field liaison during 2nd and 3rd year of program.

3

Mentored Learning Community Model



4

Specifics of the Mentor-led Learning Community (LC) Model

- Annual 4-5 day in-person Summer Institute on campus where all students and mentors participate
- Once per month (Saturday) simultaneous local meetings all LCs linked together and connected with Faculty through videoconference technology
- Approximately 80% of course content online
- Mentors' role course-support-only in Year 1, course-support plus field liaison Years 2 and 3
- Learning communities established their own patterns for connecting between face-to-face meetings

5

Results and challenges

- MSW Program completion rates of 90-100%
- Learning outcomes greatly exceed benchmarks.....

BUT

- Variance in faculty use of mentors
- Variance in mentor engagement, group skills, impact of personalities in groups
- Issues in identifying and preparing mentors
- General drift from the “ideal” model

6

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Student and Mentor Surveys

- Summer, 2014
- Involved all mentors and students
- Conducted through SurveyMonkey
- Good response rates:
 - Mentors – 15/15
 - Students – ranged from 39 to 91% for the three different cohorts

7

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Survey results from mentors

- Enjoyed watching students develop
- Many learning communities bonded well
- Played an important role in the field program

BUT

- Some LCs did not bond well with the mentor
- Personality conflicts and personal stressors
- Sometimes unclear about roles and expectations

8

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Survey results from students

- Generally felt positive about mentor roles
- Definitely helped with field issues (liaison)

BUT.....

- Questioned mentor commitment (e.g., not engaging during class, little contact between sessions)
- Did not handle student issues and group dynamics well
- Field integrative seminars could be improved

9

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Lessons and next steps

- Clearer training and support for mentors
- More explicit expectations for faculty on how they use mentors and learning communities
- More explicit expectations for students and mentors regarding behavior in Saturday sessions and contacts between sessions
- Increased resources for field seminars
- Increased effort to identify a pipeline of future mentors (our alums?) and recruit faculty

10

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Questions and comments?

11

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Contact Information

- Paul Freddolino, Associate Director of Distance Education
 - 517-432-3723; freddoli@msu.edu
- Tina Blaschke-Thompson, Coordinator of Statewide Blended Program
 - 800-306-2249; blaschke@msu.edu

12